PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 2 3 DEC 2005

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

PCT

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 24309WO	FOR FURTHER ACTIO		Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No. PCT/NL2004/000589	International filing date (day)		Priority date (day/monthlyear) 20.08.2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) of CO9D175/16, C08F290/06, C03C	or national classification and IPC 25/10				
Applicant DSM IP ASSETS B.V. et al.					
 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 					
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:					
In the standard and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows:					
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the					
sheets which sup	ersede earlier sheets, but whic ssure in the international applica ~	allon as mea, as mais	ers contain an amendment that goes ted in item 4 of Box No. I and the		
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).					
4. This report contains indication	ons relating to the following iten	ns:			
⊠ Box No. I Basis of th	ne opinion				
Day No. II. Priority					
☐ Box No. III Non-estat	olishment of opinion with regard	I to novelty, inventive s	tep and industrial applicability		
D Day No. IV Lack of U	nity of invention				
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
	ocuments cited				
☐ Box No. VII Certain d	efects in the international applic	cation			
☐ Box No. VIII Certain o	bservations on the internationa	application			
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of thi	s report		
20.06.2005		23.12.2005			
Name and malling address of the int preliminary examining authority:		Authorized Officer	Sea tuchas Patronica, E		
European Patent Offic	ce - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 - Pays Bas	Bourgonje, A			
Tel. +31 70 340 - 204 Fax: +31 70 340 - 30	0 1X: 31 651 epo III	Telephone No. +31 70	340-3278		
Fax: +31 /0 340 - 50					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/NL2004/000589

	Вох	No. I	Basis of the report
1.	With regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
		which	eport is based on translations from the original language into the following language, is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: ernational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))
		□ pu □ int	blication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) ernational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2.	With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets whice have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):		
	Des	criptio	on, Pages
	1-28		as originally filed
	Claims, Numbers		
	1-16 rece		received on 21.06.2005 with letter of 20.06.2005
		a sec	quence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
3	. 🗆	The	amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
			ne description, pages ne claims, Nos.
		□ th	ne drawings, sheets/figs ne sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> :
		□ a	any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
4	≀. □ ha Տւ	d not l	report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the nental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
		□ t □ t	he description, pages he claims, Nos. he drawings, sheets/figs he sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> : any table(s) related to sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> :
	*		item 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1-16

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims 1-16

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-16

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Re item V.

1 The following document is referred to in this communication:

D1: US 2003/139487 A1 (MONTGOMERY ET AL) 24 July 2003 (2003-07-24)

2 Novelty

2.1 None of the prior art documents disclose a radiation curable liquid resin composition comprising: A) 20-90% urethane (meth)acrylate oligomer and B) 1-35% of a monomer according to formula (1) in claim of the application. The present claims therefore appear to be novel and fulfil the requirements of Article 33 (2) PCT, because the subject matter of these claims is not disclosed in the prior art.

3 Inventive Step

3.1 The closest prior art is considered to be D1. The difference in technical features between the closest prior art and the present invention is that in D1 no monomer according to formula (1) of claim 1 is being used.

In the present invention there are no comparative examples that could show the technical effect of this difference in technical features. Because there are no suitable comparative examples it is not clear what their effect would be and the objective problem to be solved would be to find alternative monomers to be radiation cured with the urethane (meth)acrylates. The solution proposed in claims 1-16 appears to involve an inventive step because it is not suggested nor disclosed in the prior art. Therefore the subject matter of claims 1-16 appears to be inventive accrding to Article 33 (3) PCT.